1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ALONZO REED, 10 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-05-0570 FCD GGH P 12 VS. 13 SCOTT KERNAN, Warden, 14 Respondent. ORDER 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a petition for a writ of 17 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with an application to proceed in forma 18 pauperis. 19 Examination of the in forma pauperis application reveals that petitioner is unable 20 to afford the costs of suit. Accordingly, the application to proceed in forma pauperis will be 21 granted. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). 22 Since petitioner may be entitled to relief if the claimed violation of constitutional 23 rights is proved, respondents will be directed to file a response to petitioner's habeas petition. 24 Petitioner has also requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists 25 no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 26 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R.

26